

Minutes

Tableaux Business Meeting

Copenhagen, DIKU, Wednesday July 31st, 17:30–18:30,
Auditorium 3

Present members from the Tableaux Steering Committee (TSC):
Roy Dyckhoff, Uwe Egly, Chris Fermüller, Didier Galmiche, Rajeev
Goré, Reiner Hähnle, Fabio Massacci.

Plus about 30 FLoC attendees.

Minutes by Rajeev Goré with some small additions by Reiner Hähnle

1. **Approval of Agenda**
2. **Approval of Minutes of BM 2001**
(published at www.ira.uka.de/TABLEAUX/minutes01.pdf)
3. **Report from the Tableaux Steering Committee** (Reiner Hähnle)
Decided to have Tableaux 2003 in Rome (Marta Cialdea Mayer) and IJCAR in 2004.
4. **Report on Tableaux 2002** (Uwe Egly)
38 submissions
accepted 20
about the same magnitude as before
53 registrations as at 21-7-2002
St Andrews had 80 including FTP
Saratoga low fifties
finances seems okay, will not make a loss, according to figures from van Hauen

Tableau with FLoC: very high administration overhead
Expensive because of professional services
Everything had to be paid for (room rents, cleaning, technical support)
Should really think about whether we want to join FLoC again

5. **Report on the current state of planning for Tableaux 2003** (Marta Cialdea Mayer)

- Tableaux 2003 will take place in Rome and is co-located with TPHOLS and CALCULEMUS
- Tableaux 2003 Chair is Marta Cialdea Mayer from Rome 3, co-chair Fiora Pirri , Roma “La Sapienza”

web page <http://tab2003.dia.uniroma3.it>

Expensive hotels: approx 130 Euros per night, offset by cheap restaurants

6. **Report on the current state of planning for IJCAR 2004** (Reiner Hähnle)

CADE + FTP + TABLEAUX + FroCos + Calculemus

But only 2 programme chairs

Each CM can suggest two names each (until 30 Sep) and the IJCAR steering committee will select among those until 31 Oct
Then this goes back to each CMs to accept/reject using its own process (until 30 Nov)

7. **Tableaux @ FLoC vs IJCAR @ FLoC** (Discussion by All)

The smaller meetings are at a disadvantage at FLoC, because they have less of a say where they are situated during the week. Fixed overheads are relatively more expensive for smaller

meetings. Reiner has changed his mind and would recommend that Tableaux should go into next flocc as IJCAR.

Reinhold: why bother to discuss this since the steering committee went against the vote for tab02 anyway. That is, the membership decided to separate from FLoC'02, but the SC reversed that decision.

Reiner: the SC discussed this issue in length. Quite controversial, but in the end it was 9 for FLoC and 1 against. The SC felt that they were the SC and could make such a decision. There were no complaints after the decision was announced.

Fabio: STOC and FLoC are in different periods of the year. So why not have a Tableaux in autumn rather than summer? What do people feel about staying separate at next FLoC?

Reiner: the identity is already diluted since we are just a track of FLoC. So is there any advantage to staying separate?

Nicola: prefers to stay separate from FLoC to preserve Tableau identity.

ChrisF: we see a lot of papers that would not fit into CADE. We should open up even more. We should not demand direct connection with automated reasoning.

Nicola: Tableau papers appear in CSL and LPAR etc., all the time. So we need to keep our identity.

PBaumgartner: feels no loss of identity. Likes the parallel streams. Prefers Tableau at FLoC.

Neil: the attendees don't really see any difference.

Fabio: having IJCAR at FLoC makes more sense from an organisational perspective.

Raj: the automated reasoning appellation will bloc a widening of the Tableau scope as suggested by ChrisF.

Everybody agrees that we should remain independent every couple of years.

CADE wants to enter as IJCAR at next FLoC.

Other financial models would make it easier for smaller meetings, e.g., daily registration fee.

IJCAR in 2004 is fixed. It cannot be changed.

8. **Extension of the term of some TSC members by 1 year to avoid change of the whole TSC next year**

Fabio: introduce the notion of a past president to give continuity.

ChrisF: yes, we should extend the term of some SC members. This is a modest suggestion and is not too controversial.

Reiner: to stay on as past president and others to go up for elections.

Bernhard: should we not have taken a vote on this?

Reiner: no, it is in the SC rules so it does not need a formal vote.

Clarification by Reiner added afterwards: the SC will make a suggestion which of its members should have their term extended by 1 year. At the BM in Rome there will be a formal election for these members.

9. **Other Business**

None.